The p110δ structure: mechanisms for selectivity and potency of new PI(3)K inhibitors
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Deregulation of the phosphoinositide-3-OH kinase (PI(3)K) pathway has been implicated in numerous pathologies including cancer, diabetes, thrombosis, rheumatoid arthritis and asthma. Recently, small-molecule and ATP-competitive PI(3)K inhibitors with a wide range of selectivities have entered clinical development. In order to understand the mechanisms underlying the isoform selectivity of these inhibitors, we developed a new expression strategy that enabled us to determine to our knowledge the first crystal structure of the catalytic subunit of the class IA PI(3)K p110δ. Structures of this enzyme in complex with a broad panel of isoform- and pan-selective class I PI(3)K inhibitors reveal that selectivity toward p110δ can be achieved by exploiting its conformational flexibility and the sequence diversity of active site residues that do not contact ATP. We have used these observations to rationalize and synthesize highly selective inhibitors for p110δ with greatly improved potencies.

The earliest generation of small-molecule and ATP-competitive PI(3)K inhibitors, including the pan-selective LY294004 (ref. 25) and wortmannin36, were important tools for investigating PI(3)K-mediated cellular responses in the laboratory, but their low affinity (LY294002), instability (wortmannin), nonspecificity and toxicity limited their clinical use. However, further chemical modifications of some of these early inhibitors significantly helped to improve their drug-like properties. For example, PWT-458 (Wyeth) and PX-866 (Oncothyreon) are modified wortmannin-based PI(3)K inhibitors with improved pharmacological properties that are currently in phase 1 clinical trials22,24.

The first crystal structures of p110γ in complexes with pan-selective PI(3)K inhibitors28 made it possible to begin to rationalize PI(3)K isoform-selective inhibitors such as AS604850 (Merck-Serono) for p110γ (ref. 30). However, many of these inhibitors retained off-target activities, and, partially due to the lack of crystal structures of other PI(3)K isoforms and PI(3)K-related protein kinases (PIKKs), these unwanted side effects were difficult to rationalize.

Notably, the development of multi- and pan-selective PI(3)K inhibitors as well as dual PI(3)K/mTOR or PI(3)K/tyrosine kinase inhibitors5 rather than isoform-selective PI(3)K inhibitors remains a valid therapeutic strategy. XL-147 (Exelixis), which is currently in phase 1 and 2 trials for breast cancer34, and SF1126 (Semaphore), an RGDS peptide–conjugated prodrug of LY294002 (ref. 32), are examples of dual-selectivity PI(3)K/mTOR inhibitors.

Recently, several new class I PI(3)K isoform-selective inhibitors showing improved selectivities and potencies have been reported, and some of them have entered clinical trials: CAL-101 (Calistoga), a derivative of the highly p110δ-selective inhibitor IC87114 (ref. 36) with increased potency, entered phase 1 for the treatment of acute
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RESULTS

Expression and catalytic activity of ΔABDp110δ

Our initial attempts to express either the full-length or the ABD-truncated p110δ catalytic subunit in Sf9 cells produced only insoluble protein. However, we could readily express and purify p110δ in complexes with only the iSH2 domain of p85α. We devised a new expression and purification strategy by introducing a TEV protease cleavage site in the linker region between the ABD and the RBD of p110δ (Fig. 1a) with the objective of generating an ABD-truncated version of this isoform for crystallization trials. The ΔABDp110δ construct showed a considerably enhanced lipid kinase activity in vitro when compared with either the whole p110δ-p85α complex or the p110δ-p85α nicSH2 complex (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Overall structure of ΔABDp110δ

Crystallographic statistics for all p110δ datasets are given in Supplementary Table 1. The overall fold of p110δ is very similar to the catalytic subunits of p110γ and p110α (Fig. 1b)3,5,7. Helices Lx1-Lx3 of the ABD-RBD linker pack tightly against the helical domain, and stretch from the RBD to the C2 domain. The helices kx1 and kx2/kx2' of the kinase domain form a hairpin in the N-lobe that sits on top of a five-stranded β-sheet formed by kβ3–kβ7, and this hairpin structurally distinguishes PI(3)Ks from protein kinases. These latter helices seem to extend the antiparallel A/B pairs of α-helices found in the helical domain. The kinase domain has an extensive, tightly packed interface with the helical domain. All of the catalytically important motifs within this domain are well ordered, with the exception of residues 920–928 of a region known as the “activation” or phosphoinositide-binding loop. Notably, the residues within the p110δ 893-DRH-895 motif located in the “catalytic” loop, a motif conserved in all PI(3)Ks and inverted (HRD) in protein kinases, adopt a different conformation from what was previously observed in the structure of p110γ (Supplementary Fig. 3)8. This different conformation might be critical for the correct positioning of the DFG aspartate at the beginning of the activation loop.

All the domains of p110δ superimpose closely on previously reported PI(3)K structures (Supplementary Fig. 4a–d). However, the most noteworthy difference in the overall structure of p110δ relative to p110α or p110γ is a change in the orientation of the N-lobe with respect to the C-lobe of the kinase domain. This shift may reflect motions characteristic of the catalytic cycle, analogous to the hinging and sliding motions of the N- and C-lobes that have been described for protein kinases39. Furthermore, the RBD shifts relative to the N-lobe of the kinase domain (Supplementary Fig. 4g). The RBD mediates interaction with Ras in a GTP-dependent manner for all three isoforms31,32,39,40. Despite the great sequence divergence among the isoforms in the RBD, the overall RBD backbone conformation is very closely preserved among the various class I isoforms (Supplementary Fig. 4f). However, differences in the orientation of the RBD relative to the kinase domain suggest the possibility of different mechanisms of activation by Ras. The conformation of the loop connecting kβ4 and kβ5 (Tyr763 to Val774 in p110δ) in the N-lobe is different in all the isoforms (longest in p110α, shortest in p110δ), and this correlates with the orientation of the RBD. Within the RBD of p110δ, residues 231–234 are disordered. The equivalent region in p110α is an ordered helix (Rt22), whereas in p110γ this region is ordered only in the Ras-p110γ complex, although it has a completely different conformation than in p110α.

Cocrystallization of p110δ with inhibitors

We chose a set of chemically diverse inhibitors in order to understand the structural mechanisms that underlie p110δ-specific inhibition in contrast to broadly specific PI(3)K inhibitors. Even though we obtained crystals grown in the presence of ATP, only a weak density somewhat larger than what would be expected for an ordered water molecule was observed in the hinge region. We will refer to this structure as the apo form of p110δ.

ATP-binding pocket

All of the compounds presented here contact a core set of six residues in the ATP-binding pocket (Supplementary Table 2), and—apart from the hinge residue Val827 in p110δ—these residues are invariant in all of the class I PI(3)K isoforms. Based on our
The propeller-shaped p110δ-selective inhibitors induce the formation of the specificity pocket. (a–e) Shown are the active sites of p110δ in complex with the inhibitors IC87114 (a), PIK-39 (b), SW13 (c), SW14 (d) and SW30 (e). Key residues that outline the active site and interact with the compounds and the $2m_F - m_F$, electron densities (contouring level 1σ) are presented. Selected water molecules in the active sites are shown as gray spheres. Note that IC87114 and PIK-39 do not fill the affinity pocket, whereas SW13, SW14 and SW30 do. Dashed black lines represent hydrogen bonds.

Inhibitor-bound structures of p110δ as well as previously described PI(3)K complexes18,29,30,32,41, we can define four regions within the ATP-binding pocket that are important for inhibitor binding (Fig. 2a): an “adenine” pocket (hinge), a “specificity” pocket, an “affinity” pocket and the hydrophobic region II located at the mouth of the active site18,42. Of the core active site residues, only two are in contact with inhibitors in all complexes: Val828 and Ile910. Residues 825–828 line the adenine pocket and form a hinge between the N-lobe and C-lobe of the catalytic domain. The backbone amide of the hinge Val828 makes a characteristic hydrogen bond in all of the p110δ-inhibitor complexes. Additionally, the backbone carbonyl of hinge Glu826 establishes hydrogen bonds to most of the inhibitors.

Our selection of inhibitors can be organized into three types. The first type includes inhibitors that adopt a propeller-shaped conformation (two roughly orthogonally oriented aromatic ring systems) when bound to the enzyme (Fig. 2a–e and Supplementary Fig. 5). These are mostly p110δ-selective inhibitors that stabilize a conformational change that opens a hydrophobic “specificity” pocket in the active site that is not present in the apo structure of the enzyme as previously reported for the p110γ–PIK-39 crystal structure18. We also co-crystallized the p110δ enzyme with a second set of mostly flat and multi-to-pan-selective class I PI(3)K inhibitors that do not provoke such a conformational rearrangement. AS15, which has a distorted propeller shape when bound to the enzyme, is the only example of a third type of inhibitor that is highly selective for the p110δ isoform, although it does not open the specificity pocket.

The propeller-shaped inhibitors IC87114 and PIK-39

The discovery of the p110δ-selective inhibitor IC87114 (ICOS) in 2003 (ref. 36) was a proof of principle that isoform selectivity of PI(3)K inhibitors can be accomplished, and so far it remains one of the most selective p110δ inhibitors known.

The crystal structures of the p110δ–IC87114 (1) (Fig. 2a) and the p110δ–PIK-39 (2) (Fig. 2b) complexes show that the purine group of the compounds resides within the adenine pocket and establishes hydrogen bonds to the hinge residues Glu826 and Val828. The quinazolinone moiety is sandwiched into the induced hydrophobic specificity pocket between Trp760 and Ile777 on one side and two P-loop residues, Met752 and Pro758, on the other side. The specificity pocket is not present in the apo enzyme where the P-loop Met752 rests in its “in” position leaning against Trp760. The tolune group (IC87114) and the methoxyphenyl group (PIK-39) attached to the quinazolinone moiety project out of the ATP-binding pocket over a region that we will refer to as hydrophobic region II.

PIK-39 binding to both p110δ and p110γ induces a slight opening in the ATP-binding pocket. The p110δ ATP-binding pocket accommodates the PIK-39–induced conformational change by a
local change in the conformation of the P-loop (residues 752–758 in p110γ), whereas the equivalent opening of the p110γ pocket is accompanied by a conformational change that involves much of the N-lobe moving with respect to the C-lobe. The loop between kx1 and kx2 of p110γ (residues 752–760) sits on top of the P-loop (residues 803–811) and appears to rigidify it, so that the compound-induced opening of the pocket is accompanied by a shift of the N-lobe as a unit (Supplementary Fig. 6 and Supplementary Movies 1 and 2). In contrast to p110γ, in p110α the slightly shorter kx1-kx2 loop leaves the P-loop largely free and able to move independently of the rest of the N-lobe. We proposed that opening of the specificity pocket might be easier in p110α compared to p110γ.

Molecular dynamics simulations of p110δ and p110γ
Perturbation analysis by molecular dynamics simulations suggests that the free energy of the specificity pocket closure is more favorable in p110γ than p110α (Supplementary Fig. 7). To quantify the higher degree of flexibility within the p110α active site, we performed molecular dynamics simulations of the apo enzymes of both isoforms (see Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Movies 3 and 4). The potential energy of the interaction of PIK-39 with the enzyme is more favorable for p110α than for p110γ (Supplementary Fig. 8). Our results further show that the distance between Trp760 (Trp812 in p110γ) and the P-loop Met752 (Met804 in p110γ) does not change appreciably in p110α over the course of the simulation because the conformational changes observed for both residues are synchronized with each other—that is, the tryptophan smoothly follows the methionine and vice versa. In contrast, in p110γ, as the Met804 transiently assumes alternate rotamers, it briefly creates gaps between itself and Trp812. Trp812 of p110γ is sterically constrained by a hydrogen bond to Glu814 (Met762 in p110δ) and is therefore unable to flex in synchrony with Met804 as in p110δ. Additionally, in p110γ there is a more pronounced hydrophobic interaction between the Trp812 and the hinge Ile881, which might further restrain the position of the tryptophan. The transient opening of the specificity pocket in p110γ would allow water to become trapped, leading to an unfavorable entropy change.

Increased potencies of propeller-shaped inhibitors
The SW series (3, 4 and 5) and INK series (6 and 7) of inhibitors take advantage of both the specificity pocket and the affinity pocket (synthesis details for these compounds are given in the Supplementary Methods). This pocket is lined by a thin hydrophobic strip formed by Leu784, Cys815 and Ile825 at the back of...
the ATP-binding pocket and flanked on the top by the side chains of Pro758 and Lys779 and on the bottom by Asp787 (hydrophobic region 1 in protein kinases). These mostly p110β-selective compounds (SW14 is dual-selective for p110γ and p110β) are also propeller-shaped, but they have additional decorations when compared to IC87114 and PIK-39 in the form of an ortho-fluorophenol (SW14), a para-fluorophenol (SW13) or a butynol group (SW30) attached to the central pyrazolopyrimidinamine scaffold (Fig. 2c–e). These groups explore the affinity pocket where they engage in hydrogen bonds with Asp787 (SW13, SW14 and SW30) and Lys779 (SW13 and SW14). Additionally, the butynol OH group of SW30 also serves as a hydrogen bond donor to the DFG Asp911 at the start of the activation loop, and the phenolic OH group of SW13 engages in hydrogen bonding with Tyr813. This set of new inhibitor–enzyme interactions leads to a substantial increase in the inhibitors’ potencies toward p110β, which is reflected in their greatly lowered half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). The propeller shape of a compound alone does not guarantee p110β specificity, as shown by NNX666 (Supplementary Fig. 5b).

Our structures of p110β in complex with SW13, SW14 and SW30 also indicate a conformational flexibility for the catalytical DFG of p110β. This residue assumes two alternative conformations in the p110β-SW structures. One of these, the ‘in’ conformation, coincides with its putative ATP/Mg²⁺-binding position (based on the p110γ-ATP complex). The other conformation has the DFG Asp911 in the ‘out’ conformation, whereas in the p110β-SW13 complex it is ‘in’. In protein kinases, a shift of the DFG aspartate from the ‘in’ conformation (ATP-bound) to the ‘out’ conformation is characteristic of the catalytic cycle. By analogy, it may be that these inhibitors are inducing conformations characteristic of the PI(3)K catalytic cycle.

**p110δ complexes with flat and multiselselective inhibitors**

ZSTK474 (ref. 44) (8), DL06 (9), DL07 (10), AS5 (11) and GDC-0941 (ref. 32) (12) are fairly flat compounds that do not open the specificity pocket and achieve relatively little isotype selectivity. Their binding provokes some motions of the P-loop side chains of p110β, and these conformational changes are coordinated with changes in the conformation of the DFG Asp911 in the C-lobe.

**A minimalistic approach to achieve PI(3)K inhibition**

The DL06/07 series of PI(3)K inhibitors can best be described as pan-selective p110 inhibitors that represent a minimalistic approach to achieve PI(3)K inhibition (see Supplementary Methods for synthesis details). They are flat and small compounds with a minimal design that is just sufficient to span the adenine pocket via their pyrazolopyrimidinmoieties and project into the affinity pocket by means of a phenol (DL07) or a pyridine (DL06) group attached to a propyne “stick” (Fig. 3a,b). The DL07 phenol group interacts with the DFG Asp911, forcing it to its ‘in’ conformation. It also induces rotations in the side chain of P-loop Met752, but not to its ‘out’ conformation. Similar interactions are formed by DL06.

**p110δ-ZSTK474**

The new pan-selective triazine PI(3)K inhibitor ZSTK474 strongly inhibits the growth of tumor cells in human cancer xenografts and therefore is a potential candidate for further clinical development. Its crystal structure in complex with p110δ shows it flipped over relative to what was predicted in a computational p110γ-ZSTK474 model (Fig. 3c). The oxygen of one of the morpholino groups is positioned as the hinge hydrogen bond acceptor, and the morpholino ring adopts a chair conformation. The benzimidazole group extends into the affinity pocket where its nitrogen acts as a hydrogen bond acceptor for the primary amine of Lys779. The difluoromethyl group points toward Pro758 in the upper wall of the hydrophobic affinity pocket. The second morpholino group adopts a somewhat twisted chair conformation and projects out of the ATP-binding pocket in the same manner as the phenyl group of LY294002 where it occupies the hydrophobic region II.

**The potential of phosphate mimetics as kinase inhibitors**

AS5 is a relatively flat p110γ/p110δ dual-selectivity inhibitor with only modest affinities for these two isoforms. Its dimethoxyaniline group occupies the adenine pocket, where it interacts with the hinge Val828, but does not project deeply into the affinity pocket (Fig. 3d). It is conceivable that modifications on this scaffold that target polar moieties within the affinity pocket could increase the potencies of AS5 derivatives. Coupled to the quinoxaline group is a p-fluorobenzensulfonamide, and when superimposed on the p110γ-ATP crystal structure it becomes apparent that the sulfonyl group of AS5 roughly co-localizes with the α-phosphate group of ATP. This compound reveals two strategies to mimic the ATP phosphates to achieve inhibition of p110γ and p110δ. First, one of the sulfonylexogens of AS5 is a hydrogen bond acceptor for P-loop Ser754. Second, the fluorophenyl group exits the active site close to the DFG Asp911, in proximity of the space occupied by the β- and γ-phosphates in the p110γ-ATP structure.

---

**Figure 4 | Binding mode of the p110δ-selective PI(3)K inhibitor AS15 and comparison of AS15 with the propeller-shaped inhibitor PIK-39.**

(a) The highly p110δ-selective compound AS15 does not open the specificity pocket and makes extensive use of a hydrophobic patch between Trp760, Thr750 and Met752 adjacent to the adenine-binding pocket. The 2mFo – DF, contouring level is 1σ. (b) Chemical structures of AS15 and PIK-39. (c) Superposition of AS15 and PIK-39 to demonstrate their different modes of binding within the active site of p110δ.
GDC-0941 uses the space above hydrophobic region II

The identification, characterization and development of the tricyclic pyridofuropyrimidine lead PI-103 (refs. 45–47), a very potent dual-selective PI(3)K/mTOR inhibitor, has led to the pan-selective class I PI(3)K thienopyrimidine inhibitor GDC-0941, which has no off-target activity against mTOR (ref. 32). GDC-0941 is orally bioavailable and currently in phase I trials for the treatment of solid tumors43.

Its structure in complex with p110D (Fig. 3e) confirms the previously described binding mode to p110γ (ref. 32) but also reveals new features. Whereas the piperazine ring adopts a twisted chair conformation in the structure of p110D previously described binding mode to p110D, the equivalent position in p110D is unable to establish a hydrogen bond to the inhibitor’s sulfonyl oxygen. However, a different lysine residue (Lys708) interacts with the sulfonyl group of GDC-0941, which indicates why this compound does not lose affinity for p110D.

AS15 explores the nonconserved rim of the active site

Although AS15 (13) is chemically related to the quinazolinone purine inhibitor PIK-39, its cocrystal structure with p110D reveals an unexpected mode of binding (Fig. 4). Instead of wedging in between Met752 and Trp760, the hexahydroquinazolinone group presses tightly against Met752 (in its ‘in’ position) and Trp760. By comparing the binding modes of PIK-39 and AS15 to p110D, three reasons can be deduced for why PIK-39, but not AS15, is able to induce the specificity pocket. First, whereas the purine group of PIK-39 acts as a hydrogen bond donor and acceptor, the AS15 quinoline group interacts only with the backbone amide of hinge Val828. Second, the nonplanar nature of the hexahydroquinazolinone may exceed the capacity of the specificity pocket. In its alternate location, the hexahydroquinazolinone packs into a shallow dimple formed between Met752, the small side chain of Thr750, and Trp760. In other p110 isoforms, the residue equivalent to Thr750 is a lysine or arginine. This interaction may account for the high isotype selectivity of this compound. Third, compared with the shorter thiomethyl linker of PIK-39, the longer methylthioacetamide linker of AS15 might be more conformationally restrained due to the planar nature of the linker’s peptide bond. This planarity might prevent the hexahydroquinazolinone from being positioned in a way that would allow for the induction of the specificity pocket.

A number of additional p110-specific interactions are formed in a manner whereby the ketone oxygen from the hexahydroquinazolinone group acts as a hydrogen bond acceptor for the backbone amide of the P-loop Asp753 and for the primary amine of Lys708. The P-loop Asp753 is specific to p110D (the corresponding residue is Ser773 in p110α and Ala805 in p110γ), and Lys708, which is located outside of the active site, has an equivalent in p110α (Lys729) but not in p110γ (Ser760). Given that AS15 does not occupy the affinity pocket, modifications of the compound this pocket should result in an increased potency for p110D.

DISCUSSION

The p110D-inhibitor crystal structures presented here show that selectivity can be achieved by exploiting both differences in flexibilities among the isoforms and isotype-specific contacts beyond the first shell of residues that interact with ATP. Flexibility-based inhibitors are generally able to use the inherently greater pliability of the p110D-P-loop. All propeller-shaped inhibitors create a new specificity pocket not present in the apo form of the enzyme. Small modifications of this framework (as found in AS15) can result in inhibitors that are highly selective by establishing unique p110D-specific interactions without the formation of the specificity pocket. The plasticity of p110D may enable this isoform to more readily accommodate even very rigid compounds. Our structures also suggest that introducing moieties interacting with the hydrophobic region II at the mouth of the active site might help to improve pharmacokinetic properties of drug-like PI(3)K inhibitors such as GDC-0941.

Initial molecular dynamic simulations suggest that allosteric pockets such as the specificity pocket can be identified with computational approaches. A similar method that imposes stress on the ATP-binding pocket may identify new strain-prone regions that could be exploited by inhibitors.

The strategy of exploring the affinity pocket is a very powerful approach to augment the potency of inhibitors while maintaining selectivity. Further development of selective inhibitors for other isotypes and for overcoming potential resistance mutations that frequently accompany treatment with inhibitors will require a broader range of PI(3)K and PIKK structures.

METHODS

Construct design, expression and purification of ABDp110D. Briefly, the TEV-insertion construct of mouse p110D was generated using the overlapping PCR method, digested with BglII and Xhol at sites encoded by the primers and ligated into pFastBac-HTa (Invitrogen) cut with the BamHI and XhoI restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs). The correct insertion of the TEV site was confirmed by DNA sequencing (amino acid sequence: 101-LVARE-(105)-ENLYFQG-(106)-GDRYKKK-111). The construct has an N-terminal extension encoded by the vector (MSYHHHHTHIDPYDTPLENYQGADML) preceding the first residue of p110D. This extension has a His tag, and an additional vector-encoded TEV cleavage site. Recombinant baculovirus was generated and propagated according to standard protocols. For expression, S9 insect cells at a density of 1 × 10^6 ml^-1 were infected with recombinant baculovirus encoded by the vector and incubated at 27 °C. After 48 h, the cell pellets were stored at −80 °C.

For purification, cell pellets corresponding to typically 8 l of culture were lysed on ice and resuspended in 25 ml of cell buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8, 100 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol and 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol). After addition of 2 ml of complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors (Boehringer), the suspension was sonicated and the lysate was spun at 42,000 r.p.m. for 45 min. The supernatant was filtered through 0.45 μm filter units (Sartorius) and loaded onto a 3 ml HisTrap column (GE Healthcare). After a wash step with buffer A, the column was eluted using a gradient from 0–100% buffer B (buffer A + 200 mM imidazole). Fractions of the p110D-ISH2 complex were pooled and loaded onto a 5 ml heparin column equilibrated with heparin A buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol). The column was washed and eluted with a gradient from 0–100% heparin B buffer (heparin A + 1 M NaCl). This chromatography step resulted in a separation of excess His-tagged ISH2 (earlier peak) from the p110D-ISH2 complex (later peak). The p110D-ISH2 fractions were pooled and adjusted to 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol. TEV proteinase at a w/w ratio of 1:10 was added, and the mixture was incubated overnight at 4 °C. After verifying that the cleavage reaction was complete, the solution was adjusted to 30 mM imidazole and passed over a second 3 ml HisTrap column to remove the ABD/His, ISH2, and ABDp110D was collected in the flow-through. Following a concentration step using Vivaspin 20 concentrators with a 50 kDa MWCO (Vivasience), the protein was subjected to gel filtration on an S200 16/60 HiLoad column (GE Healthcare) and eluted in 20 mM Tris pH 7.2, 50 mM (NH4)2SO4, 1% (v/v) ethylene glycol, 1% (w/v) betaine, 0.02% (w/v) CHAPS and 5 mM DTT. Finally, fractions were pooled and concentrated to 4.5–5.5 mg ml^-1 as determined spectrophoto metrically using the extinction coefficient 129,810 M^-1 cm^-1 at 280 nm, flash frozen in liquid N2, and stored at −80 °C. We have applied this strategy to all other class IA isoforms (not shown).

Synthesis and characterization of SW13, SW14, SW30, DL06 and DL07

A detailed description of the synthesis and characterization of these compounds can be found in the Supplementary Methods.

X-ray crystallography. High-quality diffraction data of ABDp1008 crystals grown in the presence of inhibitors were obtained using a microseeding protocol implemented on our robotic setup. All crystal structures were solved by molecular replacement. See Supplementary Methods for additional details.

Lipid kinase activity assay. To compare the PI(3)K lipid kinase activity of the crystalized mouse ABDp110D construct with that of the full-length mouse p110D–mouse p85ε complex and the mouse p110D–human p85ε nicSH2 construct, a Transcreener ADP assay (Bellbrook Labs) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, for the generation of the ADP/ATP
standard curve, 10 μl of a 60 μM ADP/ATP (2x) mixture of various ADP/ATP concentrations were mixed with 5 μl of anti-ADP antibody at 80 μg/ml (4x) and 5 μl of ADP Alexa 633 (2x) at 40 μM in a low-volume, black and round bottom 384-well plate (Corning). The plate was protected from light and shaken at 500 r.p.m. for 1 h before polarization measurements using a PHERAstar (BMG Labtech) fluorescence polarization microplate reader (λex = 612 nm, λem = 670 nm). For the kinase reaction, 10 μl of enzymes were incubated for 1 h at 25 °C in a buffer consisting of 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 4 mM MgCl2, 2 mM EGTA and 30 μM diC8-PiP2 (Echelon). The reaction was started by the addition of 30 μM ATP (Sigma-Aldrich, neutralized). The control included the same components with the exception of the diC8-PiP2 substrate. The reaction was stopped by mixing 10 μl of the kinase reaction with 10 μl of the Stop & Detect buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 40 mM EDTA, 0.2% (w/v) Brij-35) containing 20 μM ADP AX633 tracer (2x) and 40 μg/ml ADP antibody (2x). To allow for signal stabilization, the plate was shaken at 500 r.p.m. for 1 h before fluorescence polarization measurements. The data were plotted and fitted in Kaleidagraph (Synergy Software) using an exponential decay function.

Accession codes. Protein Data Bank: Coordinates of all p110α structures and accompanying structure factors have been deposited under the following accession codes: 2WXF (p110α-IC87114), 2WXF (p110α-P1K-39), 2WXG (p110α-SW13), 2WXH (p110α-SW14), 2WXH (p110α-SW30), 2WXJ (p110α-INK65), 2WXK (p110α-INK666), 2WXL (p110α-ZSTK474), 2WXM (p110α-DL06), 2WXN (p110α-DL07), 2WXO (p110α-ASS), 2WXO (p110α-GDC-0941), 2WXQ (p110α-AS15) and 2WXR (apo-p110). The structure of the p110γ-ATP complex (entry 1E8X) was deposited at part of a previous study.
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